JCSM | Journal of Clinical Sleep Medicine

SCIENTIFIC INVESTIGATIONS

Predicting upper airway collapse sites found in drug-induced sleep endoscopy from clinical data and snoring sounds in patients with obstructive sleep apnea: a prospective clinical study

Zhengfei Huang, DMD, MSc^{1,2}; Pien F.N. Bosschieter, MD³; Ghizlane Aarab, DDS, PhD¹; Maurits K.A. van Selms, BDS, PhD¹; Joost W. Vanhommerig, PhD⁴; Antonius A.J. Hilgevoord, MD, PhD²; Frank Lobbezoo, DDS, PhD¹; Nico de Vries, MD, PhD^{1,3,5}

¹Department of Orofacial Pain and Dysfunction, Academic Center for Dentistry Amsterdam (ACTA), University of Amsterdam and Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; ²Department of Clinical Neurophysiology, OLVG, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; ³Department of Otorhinolaryngology–Head and Neck Surgery, OLVG, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; ⁴Department of Research and Epidemiology, OLVG Hospital, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; ⁵Department of Otorhinolaryngology–Head and Neck Surgery, Antwerp University Hospital (UZA), Antwerp, Belgium

Study Objectives: The primary aim was to predict upper airway collapse sites found in drug-induced sleep endoscopy (DISE) from demographic, anthropometric, clinical examination, sleep study, and snoring sound parameters in patients with obstructive sleep apnea (OSA). The secondary aim was to identify the above-mentioned parameters that are associated with complete concentric collapse of the soft palate.

Methods: All patients with OSA who underwent DISE and simultaneous snoring sound recording were enrolled in this study. Demographic, anthropometric, clinical examination (viz., modified Mallampati classification and Friedman tonsil classification), and sleep study parameters were extracted from the polysomnography and DISE reports. Snoring sound parameters during DISE were calculated.

Results: One hundred and nineteen patients with OSA (79.8% men; age = 48.1 ± 12.4 years) were included. Increased body mass index was found to be associated with higher probability of oropharyngeal collapse $(P < .01;$ odds ratio = 1.29). Patients with a high Friedman tonsil score were less likely to have tongue base collapse $(P < .01$; odd ratio = 0.12) and epiglottic collapse ($P = .01$; odds ratio = 0.20) than those with a low score. A longer duration of snoring events ($P = .05$; odds ratio = 2.99) was associated with a higher probability of complete concentric collapse of the soft palate.

Conclusions: Within the current patient profile and approach, given that only a limited number of predictors were identified, it does not seem feasible to predict upper airway collapse sites found in DISE from demographic, anthropometric, clinical examination, sleep study, and snoring sound parameters in patients with OSA. Keywords: obstructive sleep apnea, snoring sound, drug-induced sleep endoscopy, upper airway, acoustic analysis, clinical data

Citation: Huang Z, Bosschieter PFN, Aarab G, et al. Predicting upper airway collapse sites found in drug-induced sleep endoscopy from clinical data and snoring sounds in obstructive sleep apnea patients: a prospective clinical study. J Clin Sleep Med. 2022;18(9):2119–2131.

BRIEF SUMMARY

Current Knowledge/Study Rationale: Snoring sound parameters were reported to be applicable to predict the collapse site in patients with obstructive sleep apnea with single-level collapse. However, no study has explored the possibility to predict upper airway collapse sites found in drug-induced sleep endoscopy from clinical data and snoring sounds.

Study Impact: Within the current patient profile and approach, it does not seem feasible to predict upper airway collapse sites found in drug-induced sleep endoscopy using a logistic regression model based on demographic, anthropometric, clinical examination, sleep study, and snoring sound parameters. More intelligent methods like machine learning, however, may perform better in recognizing collapse sites.

INTRODUCTION

Obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) is a common sleep-related breathing disorder. The reported prevalence of OSA in the general adult population ranges from 9% to 38% .^{[1](#page-10-0)} OSA is characterized by repetitive partial or complete collapse of the upper airway during sleep, which may consequently lead to hypoxemia, respiratory arousals, and nonrestorative sleep.² Due to the serious nature of patients' complaints and potential health risks (eg, metabolic and cardiovascular disorders^{[2](#page-11-0)}), more attention has been given to the diagnosis and management of OSA.³

Identification of the level, degree, and configuration of the collapse has been reported to be essential in treatment selection

in patients with $OSA₁⁴$ $OSA₁⁴$ $OSA₁⁴$ when continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP), the gold-standard therapy of OSA, fails or is not tolerated. Currently, several modalities (eg, Müller's maneuver, dynamic magnetic resonance imaging [MRI], drug-induced sleep endoscopy [DISE]) are generally used to investigate the collapse site of the upper airway, but all of these modalities have drawbacks. For example, Müller's maneuver enables the observation of the upper airway using a flexible endoscope,⁵ but it is performed in awake patients and the findings show poor agreement with the results observed during sleep.^{[6](#page-11-0)} Dynamic MRI creates noise that may keep patients awake, is costly, and may cause claustrophobic effects[.7](#page-11-0) DISE is a unique and dynamic technique. During drug-induced sleep, the upper airway is observed

using a flexible endoscope, and the information on collapse sites can be obtained.^{[8](#page-11-0)} However, drug selection has been found to have an influence on DISE findings⁹ and there is potential inaccuracy of DISE results due to the difference between natural sleep and drug-induced sleep. 10 Therefore, there is a continuous search for more accurate and feasible methods to identify the level, degree, and configuration of upper airway collapse.

As one of the most frequently reported symptoms of OSA , 11 11 11 snoring is characterized by audible vibrations of the upper airway during respiration in sleep.¹¹ Previous studies demonstrated the informative nature of snoring sound in predicting the presence/severity of OSA.[12](#page-11-0) Similar to speech, the anatomy of the upper airway was found to have a significant influence on the acoustic characteristics of the snoring sound, which is known as the "source-filter theory."^{[13](#page-11-0)} It was therefore hypothesized that snoring sound parameters may be potential predictors of the collapse site of the upper airway.¹⁴

Recent studies built prediction models of collapse sites based on snoring sound parameters, with a reported accuracy ranging from 60.4% to 92.2% .^{[15,16](#page-11-0)} It needs to be noted that these studies only focused on snoring sound parameters. Other factors, such as sex, height, body mass index (BMI), and apnea-hypopnea index (AHI), were also reported to be associated with the presence of collapse 17 but were not included in the prediction models. In addition, importantly, previous studies only included patients whose collapse site was also the site where the snoring sound was generated (ie, the excitation site of snoring sound). This means that the snoring sound and the collapse/excitation site are considered to have a direct association. However, it is a clinical reality that the majority of patients with OSA, especially those with moderate to severe OSA, have multilevel col-lapse.^{[18](#page-11-0)[–](#page-11-0)[20](#page-11-0)} In that case, only 1 or 2 of the collapse sites generate snoring sound while the other collapse sites do not produce any sound. However, the presence of other collapse sites does have an impact on the acoustic characteristics of snoring sound. As a consequence, the association between the snoring sound and the collapse sites should, in fact, be regarded as indirect and complicated. Taken together, the above-mentioned evidence suggests that the clinical relevance of exploring the possibility to predict the presence of collapse in patients with OSA based on demographic, anthropometric, clinical, and acoustic parameters should be performed at each level (eg, velum, oropharynx, tongue base, and epiglottis) of the upper airway. Therefore, the primary aim of the present study was to predict the presence of collapse at each level of the upper airway observed during DISE based on demographic, anthropometric, clinical examination, and sleep study parameters and acoustic parameters of the snoring sound during DISE in patients with OSA. As mentioned above, we hypothesized that, in addition to snoring sound parameters, parameters like AHI and BMI may also be predictive of the presence of collapse.

In addition to the primary aim, previous studies found that the finding of complete concentric collapse of the soft palate (CCCp) during DISE was associated with poor response to treatment options such as a mandibular advancement device and upper air-way stimulation.^{3,[4](#page-11-0)} However, maxillomandibular advancement surgery was reported to be a promising solution to $CCCD$ ²¹ Hence, being aware of whether the soft palate collapse is CCCp

can help clinicians to select appropriate treatment. To the best of the authors' knowledge, no previous study has identified associated factors of CCCp among demographic, anthropometric, clinical, and acoustic parameters. Therefore, the secondary aim of this study was to identify demographic, anthropometric, clinical, and acoustic parameters associated with CCCp in patients with OSA during DISE. We hypothesized that results from soft palate–related clinical examinations like Mallampati classification can be used to predict CCCp during DISE.

METHODS

Participants

This prospective study included adult patients who were diagnosed with OSA by polysomnography (PSG) and who underwent DISE at the Department of Otolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, of the OLVG (Amsterdam, The Netherlands) between June 2020 and May 2021. All adult patients who underwent DISE were approached for this study and patients were included if they were willing to provide written informed consent. This study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the OLVG (file number: WO 20.056).

Polysomnography

Ambulatory PSG recordings (SOMNOscreen Plus, Randersacker, Germany) were performed in accordance with the hospital's protocol. The PSG recordings included electrooculogram; electroencephalogram; electrocardiogram; electromyogram of chin, jaw, and leg muscles; oronasal airflow; tracheal sound; thoraco-abdominal movement; oxygen saturation $(SpO₂)$; pulse; and position monitoring. All PSG recordings were manually scored according to the standard criteria²² by an experienced sleep technician who was not involved in this study. Apnea was defined as a reduction of $\geq 90\%$ in the nasal airflow pressure signal lasting for ≥ 10 seconds. Hypopnea was defined as a reduction of \geq 30% in the nasal airflow pressure signal lasting for \geq 10 seconds, associated with an oxygen desaturation \geq 3%. Subjects with an AHI \geq 5 events/h were identified as patients with OSA.

Drug-induced sleep endoscopy

According to the hospital protocol, 23 DISE is generally performed before considering surgical treatment for OSA, which is mainly considered for patients with OSA with a BMI < 32 kg/m^2 and an AHI < 30 events/h. For those with an AHI \geq 30 events/h, CPAP is recommended first, and surgical treatment is considered when patients have CPAP failure or intolerance. Before DISE, every patient received routine clinical examinations, which included Friedman tonsil classification, 24 modified Mallampati classification, 25 and the presence of soft palatal webbing (ie, redundant posterior palatal pillar mucosa). DISE was performed by an experienced clinician (P.F.N.B.) in an outpatient endoscopy room with dimmed lights and standard anesthetic equipment. Proper sedation was achieved using target-controlled infusion (TCI) of propofol in accordance with the method described by Schnider and Minto 26 26 26 A trained nurse anesthetist controlled the sedation and monitored blood pressure, electrocardiogram, and oxygen levels. Patients were asleep for about 15 minutes. The VOTE (Velum, Oropharynx, Tongue base, and Epiglottis) classification^{[27](#page-11-0)} was used to document DISE findings, which incorporated the collapse patterns (antero-posterior, lateral, and concentric) and the collapse degrees (0: no collapse; 1: partial collapse [collapse between 50% and 75%]; and 2: complete collapse \lfloor collapse $> 75\%$ of the most common levels and structures contributing to collapse (velum [V], oropharynx [O], tongue base [T], and epiglottis [E]; **Figure 1**). Given the impact of body position on snoring sound, 28 only snoring sounds generated when patients were in supine position without any maneuver (eg, jaw thrusting) were extracted and analyzed.

Snoring sound recording

A noncontact microphone (20–20k Hz; ZOOM H5; Zoom Corporation, Copiague, NY) was used to record snoring sounds. Before recording, the recording chain was calibrated using a sound calibrator (Testo 0554.0452; Testo Ltd, Alton Hampshire, UK), which produced a reference sound pressure level (94 dB $[0$ dB = 20 μ Pa], 1 kHz sine wave). The microphone was hung approximately 50 cm above the patient's mouth to record snoring sounds during DISE. A snoring event was identified when the vibration of soft tissue was observed in the endoscopic video recording and the snoring sound was heard. When a snoring event was identified, the time point on the sound recorder was manually recorded so that the snoring event could be extracted afterwards. It needs to be noted that, when a patient was in a supine position and presented with a stable collapse pattern during DISE, multiple snoring events can be recorded.

Data extraction

Patients' demographic data (ie, age and sex), anthropometric data (ie, height and BMI), and sleep study data (ie, AHI and

According to the VOTE classification, velum, oropharynx, tongue base, and epiglottis are 4 anatomical levels that are commonly involved in upper airway collapse, either individually or in every possible combination.

AHI in supine position [AHIS]) were extracted from PSG reports. Snoring-related complaint (ie, the presence and position of snoring in natural sleep; see Results), Friedman tonsil classification, modified Mallampati classification, and the presence of soft palatal webbing were extracted from DISE reports. As for the acoustic parameters, the acoustic analysis of snoring events consisted of 2 parts: extraction of snoring events in Audacity (Dominic Mazzoni, Fremont, CA) and data analysis in R (Vienna University of Economics and Business; Vienna, Austria). Audacity is a digital audio editor and R is a programming language, by which data analysis and statistical computing can be performed. In the present study, the original recordings with a sampling frequency of 44.1 kHz were used for snoring sound analysis. According to the time points that were manually recorded during DISE, all snoring events were extracted from the recordings in Audacity. To avoid bias in the result caused by the high weight of a single patient's snoring events in the database, only the first 5 snoring events were extracted if more than 5 snoring events were identified in a snoring sound recording. After that, the extracted snoring events were input into R and 12 snoring sound parameters were calculated. Among the 12 parameters, 9 conventional snoring sound parameters—crest factor (the peak amplitude of the waveform divided by the root mean square [RMS] value of the waveform), 29 29 29 dominant frequency (DF; the frequency that carries the most energy)[,30](#page-11-0) duration of snoring event, fundamental frequency (FF; the lowest frequency of a waveform), 31 the first 3 formants (F1, F2, F3; 3 specific frequencies of snoring sound that are amplified by resonating in the upper airway), 31 and sound pressure level (maximum, mean; sound intensity)—were extracted from each of the snoring events using the "seewave" package of R^{32} and the codes written by the authors (Z.H. and A.A.J.H.). It needs to be noted that the above-mentioned frequency components (DF, FF, F1, F2, and F3) are relatively low-frequency components. To extract the information in high-frequency range (ie, higher than F3), 3 frequencies with the highest energy were extracted as well.

Sample size

Since no similar study has been performed, the calculation of the sample size of this study cannot be based on previous findings. In the present study, 22 independent variables were included in the regression models and we followed the rule that a minimum of 15 snoring events are required for each independent variable to ensure sufficient statistical power. 33 This suggested a minimum sample size of 330 snoring events for this study.

Statistics

Descriptive statistics included demographic parameters, anthropometric parameters, clinical parameters, and acoustic parameters. In addition, the descriptive statistics of the dependent variables (for the primary aim: the presence of collapse at each of the V, O, T, and E levels; for the secondary aim: the presence of CCCp) were included as well. Ratio and interval data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation (SD); nominal and ordinal data are presented as percentages.

For the primary aim, logistic regression models were built to evaluate the associations between the dependent variables (ie, the presence of collapse at each of the V, O, T, and E levels) and the independent variables (demographic parameters, anthropometric parameters, clinical parameters, and snoring sound parameters). Because multiple snoring events can be extracted from the recording of a participant, a multilevel dataset was obtained: that is, snoring event–level variables (level 1; snoring sound parameters) were nested within participant-level variables (level 2; demographic parameters, anthropometric parameters, and clinical parameters). Therefore, a multilevel binary logistic regression model was built for each collapse level. As the first step, univariable logistic regression models were built to assess the associations between the presence of collapse at each of the V, O, T, and E levels on the one hand and all demographic parameters, anthropometric parameters, clinical parameters, and snoring sound parameters on the other hand. Snoring event–level variables and individual-level variables that showed at least a weak association $(P < 0.1)$ with each collapse level were entered in the corresponding multilevel logistic regression model. To adjust for the fact that multiple snoring events from 1 participant were not independent of each other, generalized estimating equations (GEEs) were used to build multilevel logistic regression models to assess the adjusted associations between snoring event–level variables and individual-level variables on the one hand and the presence of collapse at each of the V, O, T, and E levels on the other hand. Independent variables with a P value < .05 in the multilevel models were considered to be significantly associated with the presence of collapse. For the secondary aim, the univariable and multilevel logistic regression models were also built to identify the associated factor(s) of CCCp among demographic parameters, anthropometric parameters, clinical parameters, and snoring sound parameters. Given that patients who received previous upper airway surgeries were also included in the present study, the above-mentioned logistic regression analyses were performed twice—that is, analyses with and without patients who received previous upper airway surgeries, in case including these patients might affect the results.

For the ordinal variables (ie, modified Mallampati classification and Friedman tonsil classification), initial analyses were based on the full range of the response options, and linearity of their effect on the dependent variable was checked by inspection of the regression coefficients. Linearity was considered present if the regression coefficients consistently increased or decreased. In case of a nonlinear association, the variable was dichotomized. Independent variables in each multilevel logistic regression model were checked for multicollinearity, using a tolerance value < 0.1 or variance inflation factor $> 10^{34}$ To ensure the validity of the logistic regression model, no analysis was performed in cases where events per variable were less than 15. Analyses were conducted using the IBM SPSS Statistics 27 software package (IBM Corporation, Chicago, IL.

RESULTS

In total, 312 patients received DISE at the Department of Otolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, of the OLVG between June 2020 and May 2021. Of the 312 patients, 278 patients provided

informed consent; 31 primary snorers were excluded as this study focused on patients with OSA and 3 patients declined to provide written informed consent as they were not willing to participate in this study. Of the 278 patients who provided informed consent, 191 (68.7%) patients snored in a supine position during DISE, but snoring sounds from 72 patients were too light to be recorded and analyzed. As a consequence, only 119 (42.8%) snoring patients with OSA were included in this study. It needs to be noted that there was no significant difference between included and excluded patients with OSA in age, sex, BMI, AHI, prevalence of CCCp, and prevalence of collapse at each of the V, O, T, and E levels (Table S1 in the supplemental material). All of the included 119 participants were inspiratory snorers; the participant characteristics are shown in [Table 1](#page-4-0). It is worth noting that 27 (22.7%) of the 119 participants received previous upper airway surgeries (ie, 23 had tonsillectomy, 3 had uvulopalatopharyngoplasty, and 1 had expansion sphincter pharyngoplasty) and therefore presented with a Friedman tonsil classification of zero. However, 19 of these 27 participants still had oropharyngeal collapse. The collapse patterns and sites that were observed during DISE are shown in [Table 2](#page-4-0).

A total of 384 snoring events were extracted from the recordings of the 119 participants. As 379 of the 384 snoring events corresponded to the presence of velum collapse, logistic regression models could not be built for velum collapse. Another point to be noted is that the class 4 of Friedman tonsil classification was merged into class 3 for the feasibility of statistical analysis, since only 1 participant was classified as class 4. In addition, the class 4 of modified Mallampati classification was merged into class 3, since only 2 participants were classified as class 4. For oropharyngeal collapse, logistic regression models with ([Table 3](#page-5-0)) and without ([Table 4](#page-6-0)) patients who received previous upper airway surgeries showed different results. In the model with patients who received previous upper airway surgeries, patients with class 1 Friedman tonsil classification were less likely to have oropharyngeal collapse than those with class 0 ($P = .04$; odds ratio [OR] = 0.17 [0.03–0.88]). However, this association disappeared, and increased BMI was found to be associated with higher probability of oropharyngeal collapse $(P < .01; \text{ OR } = 1.29 [1.07–1.55])$ in the model without patients who received previous upper airway surgeries. It needs to be noted that, for tongue base collapse, epiglottic collapse, and CCCp, there was no difference in identified associated factors between logistic regression models with ([Table 5](#page-7-0), [Table 6](#page-8-0), and [Table 7](#page-9-0), respectively) and without (Table S2, Table S3, and Table S4) patients who received previous upper airway surgeries. For tongue base collapse, the full range of the response options of the Friedman tonsil classification showed a nonlinear association with the presence of collapse and was therefore dichotomized (low score = class 0 and class 1; high score = class 2 and class 3). In the multilevel logistic regression model, an interesting finding was that patients with a high Friedman tonsil score were less likely to have tongue base collapse than those with a low score ($P < .01$; OR = 0.12 [0.04–0.44]). In addition, a high Friedman tonsil score ($P = .01$; OR = 0.20 [0.06–0.72]) was also found to be associated with a lower probability of epiglottic collapse. For CCCp, it was found that the duration of snoring event ($P = .05$; OR = 2.99 [1.01–8.84]) was

Table 1—Participant characteristics.

AHI = apnea-hypopnea index, AHIS = AHI in supine position, BMI = body mass index, $dB =$ decibels, $DF =$ dominant frequency, $ESP =$ expansion sphincter pharyngoplasty, $F1 =$ the first formant, $F2 =$ the second formant, $F3$ = the third formant, FF = fundamental frequency, SD = standard deviation, UPPP = uvulopalatopharyngoplasty.

Table 2-Collapse patterns and sites observed during DISE in the supine position and corresponding participants and snoring events.

Values are given as n $(\%)$. AP = antero-posterior, CCCp = complete concentric collapse of the soft palate, DISE = drug-induced sleep endoscopy, SD = standard deviation.

the only associated factor of CCCp—that is, the longer the duration of a snoring event, the higher the probability of CCCp.

DISCUSSION

In the present study, the logistic regression model with patients who received previous upper airway surgeries showed that Table 3—Logistic regression models for oropharyngeal collapse (with patients who received previous upper airway surgeries).

AHI = apnea-hypopnea index, AHIS = AHI in supine position, BMI = body mass index, CI = confidence interval, dB = decibels, DF = dominant frequency, F1 = the first formant, F2 = the second formant, F3 = the third formant, FF = fundamental frequency, OR = odds ratio.

patients with class 1 Friedman tonsil classification were less likely to have oropharyngeal collapse than those with class 0. However, in the model without patients who received previous upper airway surgeries, increased BMI was found to be associated with a higher probability of oropharyngeal collapse. In addition, it was found that patients with a high Friedman tonsil score were less likely to have tongue base collapse and epiglottic collapse than those with a low score. For CCCp, the probability of CCCp increases with the increase in the duration of snoring events.

In the present study, only 68.7% of patients with OSA snored in the supine position during DISE and only 42.8% of patients Table 4—Logistic regression models for oropharyngeal collapse (without patients who received previous upper airway surgeries).

AHI = apnea-hypopnea index, AHIS = AHI in supine position, BMI = body mass index, CI = confidence interval, dB = decibels, DF = dominant frequency, F1 = the first formant, F2 = the second formant, F3 = the third formant, FF = fundamental frequency, OR = odds ratio.

with OSA generated analyzable snoring sounds in the supine position during DISE, while previous studies reported that $82-100\%$ ^{[35,36](#page-11-0)} of patients with OSA snored during DISE. A possible explanation to this discrepancy is that we only included patients who snored in the supine position in the present study. It is a clinical reality that some patients with OSA only snored in

the lateral position but not in the supine position. Another possible reason is that only TCI was used during DISE so as to obtain a medium-sedation level status (ie, loss of response to verbal stimulation at a normal volume³⁷), but an electroencephalogram-derived index like the bispectral index was not used to monitor the depth of sedation. A previous study found that the mean

AHI = apnea-hypopnea index, AHIS = AHI in supine position, BMI = body mass index, CI = confidence interval, dB = decibels, DF = dominant frequency, F1 = the first formant, F2 = the second formant, F3 = the third formant, FF = fundamental frequency, OR = odds ratio.

infusion rate was significantly higher in patients receiving TCI of propofol without monitoring bispectral index than in those who received TCI of propofol with monitoring bispec-tral index.^{[38](#page-11-0)} This suggests the possibility that some snoring patients with OSA might have been excluded due to a relatively high sedative dose, which may lead to excessive muscle relaxation, more severe obstructive breathing, and consequent absence of snoring.

It was found that including patients who received previous upper airway surgeries affected the analysis for oropharyngeal collapse, but not those for tongue base collapse, epiglottic collapse, and CCCp. A possible explanation is that these upper airway surgeries (ie, tonsillectomy, uvulopalatopharyngoplasty, and expansion sphincter pharyngoplasty) focus on velum and oropharynx but have no effect on tongue base and epiglottis. In addition, CCCp was found to be associated with a decreased Table 6—Logistic regression models for epiglottic collapse.

AHI = apnea-hypopnea index, AHIS = AHI in supine position, BMI = body mass index, CI = confidence interval, dB = decibels, DF = dominant frequency, F1 = the first formant, F2 = the second formant, F3 = the third formant, FF = fundamental frequency, OR = odds ratio.

success rate of upper airway surgeries. 21 As a consequence, only analysis for oropharyngeal collapse was affected. In the model without patients who received previous upper airway surgeries, increased BMI was found to be associated with a higher probability of oropharyngeal collapse. Previous studies reported the same results $39,40$ and explained that obesity was associated with anatomic factors (eg, lateral pharyngeal muscle thickness and fat volume³⁹) that may cause the narrowing of the

oropharynx. Taken together, the above-mentioned evidence suggests that the presence of oropharyngeal collapse is affected by obesity.

An interesting finding is that high score (ie, class 2 and class 3) on the Friedman tonsil classification was associated with a lower probability of tongue base collapse during DISE in the supine position. We hypothesize that large tonsils may act as airway stents to create space for the retroglossal area, thereby

Table 7-Logistic regression models for CCCp.

AHI = apnea-hypopnea index, AHIS = AHI in supine position, BMI = body mass index, CCCp = complete concentric collapse of the soft palate, CI = confidence interval, dB = decibels, DF = dominant frequency, F1 = the first formant, F2 = the second formant, F3 = the third formant, FF = fundamental frequency, OR = odds ratio.

reducing the degree of tongue base collapse during sleep. To the best of the authors' knowledge, only 2 previous studies $41,42$ proposed the same hypothesis. In addition, 2 other previous studies found that larger tonsils are associated with a higher success rate of surgery for tongue base collapse, $43,44$ even though these 2 studies did not discuss the potential supporting role of tonsils in tongue base collapse. Tonsils, as a common cause of oropharyngeal collapse, 39 are generally removed

during upper airway surgery. However, due to the collapse of other anatomical structures, such as the lateral pharyngeal wall, oropharyngeal collapse may remain after tonsillectomy. This situation was also observed in the present study: 19 participants presented with a postoperative Friedman tonsil classification of zero but still had oropharyngeal collapse. Hence, futures studies are needed to investigate the role of tonsils in tongue base collapse. In addition, otolaryngologist–head and neck surgeons need to comprehensively consider the potential postoperative changes in the upper airway of patients with OSA, evaluating the necessity of tonsillectomy.

Another finding is that the results of the logistic regression models (both the identified associated factors and the directions of associated factors) for tongue base collapse and epiglottic collapse are basically the same. A possible reason for the similarity is that a majority of the epiglottic collapse cases (74.4%; [Table 2](#page-4-0)) were secondary to tongue base collapse (ie, a bulky tongue base pushes the epiglottis backward and causes collapse 45). It is a clinical reality that, compared with primary epiglottic collapse (eg, floppy epiglottis 45), secondary epiglottic collapse is more common. $45,46$ It is also possible that the above-mentioned stent role of tonsils also applies to the epiglottic area, but future studies are needed to test this hypothesis.

With regard to velum collapse, it is consistent with previous studies that velum is the most frequently observed collapse site in the upper airway (98.3% in the present study) δ and the prevalence of CCCp ranges from 10% to 32% (17.9% in the present study).⁴ As for the associated factor of CCCp, a longer duration of snoring event was found to be associated with a higher probability of CCCp. Long duration of a snoring event means long inspiratory time and small inspiratory volume per unit time. Given that CCCp is associated with high upper airway collapsibility (ie, small cross-sectional area and limited airflow), $33,44$ it is reasonable that snoring events from patients with CCCp had a longer duration than those from patients without CCCp. The positive association between the duration of snoring event and the probability of CCCp during DISE in the supine position may be applicable to snoring sounds during natural sleep to predict the presence of CCCp. But before that, given the potential difference between natural sleep and drug-induced sleep, 47 this finding needs to be confirmed in a representative OSA population during natural sleep, and the cutoff value(s) for the duration of CCCp snoring sound or/and the proportion of the duration of CCCp snoring sound in a respiratory cycle (inspiration and expiration) need to be determined in further studies.

In previous studies, $15,16$ snoring sound parameters, especially those in frequency domain (eg, DF, FF, F1–3), were found to be applicable to distinguish between snoring sounds that were generated from different levels in the upper airway. However, in the present study, only the duration of snoring event was identified as an associated factor of CCCp and no snoring sound parameter was found to be associated with the collapse at the levels of oropharynx, tongue base, and epiglottis. This may be due to the fact that, compared with single-level collapse (ie, the collapse site is also the excitation site of snoring sound), the association between snoring sound parameters and multilevel collapse is relatively indirect. As a consequence, it is difficult to identify the associated factors of the presence of collapse at each level of the upper airway, especially for conventional statistical methods like logistic regression models. Currently, as a branch of artificial intelligence, machine learning plays an increasingly important role in making predictions, including for acoustic analysis.^{[15,16](#page-11-0)} According to the "source-filter" theory,^{[13](#page-11-0)} it is theoretically feasible to predict the presence of collapse at each level of the upper airway based on snoring sound parameters, but, in future studies, more intelligent techniques like machine learning are needed for the task.

The present study inevitably has some limitations. First, as an intrinsic limitation, acoustic analysis of snoring sound only applies to snoring patients with OSA. For nonsnoring patients with OSA, acoustic analysis is not applicable. In addition, although there is no difference in age, sex, BMI, AHI, and collapse site between included and excluded patients with OSA, the generalizability of the results was limited by the inclusion of only patients who generated analyzable snoring sounds in a supine position during DISE. DISE is generally prescribed when upper airway surgery is considered and upper airway surgery is only considered for patients with OSA with a BMI \leq 32 kg/m² and an AHI \leq 30 events/h, and when patients have CPAP failure or intolerance. In other words, many patients with OSA with a high BMI and AHI were excluded from this study and the study results may be limited to the current patient profile. Second, as mentioned above, some snoring patients with OSA might have been excluded because only TCI was used during DISE. Third, previous studies also reported the impact of the degree and configuration of collapse on snoring sounds.^{[48](#page-11-0)} However, so as to keep this study focused, the degree of collapse and the configuration of collapse at oropharynx, tongue base, and epiglottis levels were not taken into consideration.

CONCLUSIONS

Within the current patient profile and approach, given that only a limited number of predictors were identified, it does not seem feasible to predict upper airway collapse sites found in DISE from demographic, anthropometric, clinical examination, sleep study, and snoring sound parameters in patients with OSA.

ABBREVIATIONS

AHI, apnea-hypopnea index AHIS, apnea-hypopnea index in supine position BMI, body mass index CCCp, complete concentric collapse of the soft palate CI, confidence interval CPAP, continuous positive airway pressure DF, dominant frequency DISE, drug-induced sleep endoscopy F1, the first formant F2, the second formant F3, the third formant FF, fundamental frequency OR, odds ratio OSA, obstructive sleep apnea PSG, polysomnography SD, standard deviation TCI, target-controlled infusion VOTE, velum, oropharynx, tongue base, and epiglottis

REFERENCES

^{1.} Senaratna CV, Perret JL, Lodge CJ, et al. Prevalence of obstructive sleep apnea in the general population: a systematic review. Sleep Med Rev. 2017;34: 70–81.

- 2. Jordan AS, McSharry DG, Malhotra A. Adult obstructive sleep apnoea. Lancet. 2014;383(9918):736–747.
- 3. Gottlieb DJ, Punjabi NM. Diagnosis and management of obstructive sleep apnea: a review. JAMA. 2020;323(14):1389–1400.
- 4. Op de Beeck S, Dieltjens M, Verbruggen AE, et al. Phenotypic labelling using drug-induced sleep endoscopy improves patient selection for mandibular advancement device outcome: a prospective study. J Clin Sleep Med. 2019;15(8):1089-1099.
- 5. Ozcan KM, Ozcan M, Ozdogan F, Hizli O, Dere H, Unal A. The predictive value of Muller maneuver in REM-dependent obstructive sleep apnea. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2013;270(10):2759–2762.
- 6. Soares D, Folbe AJ, Yoo G, Badr MS, Rowley JA, Lin HS. Drug-induced sleep endoscopy vs awake Müller's maneuver in the diagnosis of severe upper airway obstruction. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2013;148(1):151–156.
- 7. Abdel Razek AA. Diagnostic role of magnetic resonance imaging in obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. J Comput Assist Tomogr. 2015;39(4):565–571.
- 8. Ravesloot MJ, de Vries N. One hundred consecutive patients undergoing drug-induced sleep endoscopy: results and evaluation. Laryngoscope. 2011; 121(12):2710–2716.
- 9. Viana A, Zhao C, Rosa T, et al. The effect of sedating agents on drug-induced sleep endoscopy findings. Laryngoscope. 2019;129(2):506–513.
- 10. Chang ET, Certal V, Song SA, et al. Dexmedetomidine versus propofol during drug-induced sleep endoscopy and sedation: a systematic review. Sleep Breath. 2017;21(3):727–735.
- 11. American Academy of Sleep Medicine. International Classification of Sleep Disorders. 3rd ed. Darien, IL: American Academy of Sleep Medicine; 2014.
- 12. Acar M, Yazıcı D, Bayar Muluk N, Hancı D, Seren E, Cingi C. Is there a relationship between snoring sound intensity and frequency and OSAS severity? Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol. 2016;125(1):31–36.
- 13. Pevernagie D, Aarts RM, De Meyer M. The acoustics of snoring. Sleep Med Rev. 2010;14(2):131–144.
- 14. Quinn SJ, Huang L, Ellis PD, Williams JE. The differentiation of snoring mechanisms using sound analysis. Clin Otolaryngol Allied Sci. 1996;21(2):119–123.
- 15. Sun J, Hu X, Peng S, Peng CK, Ma Y. Automatic classification of excitation location of snoring sounds. J Clin Sleep Med. 2021;17(5):1031–1038.
- 16. Kun Qian, Janott C, Jun Deng, et al. Snore sound recognition: on wavelets and classifiers from deep nets to kernels. Annu Int Conf IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc. 2017; 2017:3737–3740.
- 17. Azarbarzin A, Moussavi Z. Do anthropometric parameters change the characteristics of snoring sound? Annu Int Conf IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc. 2011; 2011:1749–1752.
- 18. Vroegop AV, Vanderveken OM, Boudewyns AN, et al. Drug-induced sleep endoscopy in sleep-disordered breathing: report on 1,249 cases. Laryngoscope. 2014;124(3):797–802.
- 19. Lee EJ, Cho JH. Meta-analysis of obstruction site observed with drug-induced sleep endoscopy in patients with obstructive sleep apnea. Laryngoscope. 2019; 129(5):1235–1243.
- 20. Koo SK, Choi JW, Myung NS, Lee HJ, Kim YJ, Kim YJ. Analysis of obstruction site in obstructive sleep apnea syndrome patients by drug induced sleep endoscopy. Am J Otolaryngol. 2013;34(6):626–630.
- 21. Kastoer C, Op de Beeck S, Dom M, et al. Drug-induced sleep endoscopy upper airway collapse patterns and maxillomandibular advancement. Laryngoscope. 2020;130(4):E268–E274.
- 22. Berry RB, Quan SF, Abreu AR, et al; for the American Academy of Sleep Medicine. The AASM Manual for the Scoring of Sleep and Associated Events: Rules, Terminology and Technical Specifications. Version 2.6. Darien, IL: American Academy of Sleep Medicine; 2020.
- 23. Ravesloot MJL, de Raaff CAL, van de Beek MJ, et al. Perioperative care of patients with obstructive sleep apnea undergoing upper airway surgery: a review and consensus recommendations. JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2019; 145(8):751–760.
- 24. Friedman M, Salapatas AM, Bonzelaar LB. Updated Friedman staging system for obstructive sleep apnea. Adv Otorhinolaryngol. 2017;80:41–48.
- 25. Friedman M, Tanyeri H, La Rosa M, et al. Clinical predictors of obstructive sleep apnea. Laryngoscope. 1999;109(12):1901–1907.
- 26. Schnider T, Minto C. Pharmacokinetic models of propofol for TCI. Anaesthesia. 2008;63(2):206; author reply 206–207.
- 27. Kezirian EJ, Hohenhorst W, de Vries N. Drug-induced sleep endoscopy: the VOTE classification. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2011;268(8):1233–1236.
- 28. Nakano H, Ikeda T, Hayashi M, Ohshima E, Onizuka A. Effects of body position on snoring in apneic and nonapneic snorers. Sleep. 2003;26(2):169–172.
- 29. Osborne JE, Osman EZ, Hill PD, Lee BV, Sparkes C. A new acoustic method of differentiating palatal from non-palatal snoring. Clin Otolaryngol Allied Sci. 1999; 24(2):130–133.
- 30. Gürpınar B, Saltürk Z, Kumral TL, Civelek S, Izel O, Uyar Y. Analysis of snoring to determine the site of obstruction in obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. Sleep Breath. 2021;25(3):1427–1432.
- 31. Koo SK, Kwon SB, Kim YJ, Moon JIS, Kim YJ, Jung SH. Acoustic analysis of snoring sounds recorded with a smartphone according to obstruction site in OSAS patients. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2017;274(3):1735–1740.
- 32. Sueur J, Aubin T, Simonis C. Seewave, a free modular tool for sound analysis and synthesis. Bioacoustics. 2008;18(2):213–226.
- 33. van Voorhis C, Morgan B. Understanding power and rules of thumb for determining sample sizes. Tutor Quant Methods Psychol. 2007;3(2):43–50.
- 34. Peduzzi P, Concato J, Kemper E, Holford TR, Feinstein AR. A simulation study of the number of events per variable in logistic regression analysis. J Clin Epidemiol. 1996;49(12):1373–1379.
- 35. Marais J. The value of sedation nasendoscopy: a comparison between snoring and non-snoring patients. Clin Otolaryngol Allied Sci. 1998;23(1):74–76.
- 36. Rabelo FAW, Küpper DS, Sander HH, Fernandes RMF, Valera FCP. Polysomnographic evaluation of propofol-induced sleep in patients with respiratory sleep disorders and controls. Laryngoscope. 2013;123(9):2300–2305.
- 37. Lan MC, Hsu YB, Lan MY, et al. The predictive value of drug-induced sleep endoscopy for CPAP titration in OSA patients. Sleep Breath. 2018;22(4):949–954.
- 38. Lin YJ, Wang YC, Huang HH, Huang CH, Liao MX, Lin PL. Target-controlled propofol infusion with or without bispectral index monitoring of sedation during advanced gastrointestinal endoscopy. J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2020;35(7): 1189–1195.
- 39. Sung CM, Tan SN, Shin MH, et al. The site of airway collapse in sleep apnea, its associations with disease severity and obesity, and implications for mechanical interventions. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2021;204(1):103–106.
- 40. Wong SJ, Luitje ME, Karelsky S. Patterns of obstruction on DISE in adults with obstructive sleep apnea change with BMI. Laryngoscope. 2021;131(1):224–229.
- 41. Zalzal HG, Coutras S. Palatine tonsil stenting of the airway as determined by drug-induced sleep endoscopy. Case Rep Otolaryngol. 2018;2018:2614143.
- 42. Hyzer JM, Milczuk HA, Macarthur CJ, King EF, Quintanilla-Dieck L, Lam DJ. Drug-induced sleep endoscopy findings in children with obstructive sleep apnea with vs without obesity or Down syndrome. JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2021;147(2):175–181.
- 43. Hwang CS, Kim JW, Park SC, et al. Predictors of success in combination of tongue base resection and lateral pharyngoplasty for obstructive sleep apnea. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2017;274(5):2197–2203.
- 44. Ha JG, Lee Y, Nam JS, et al. Can drug-induced sleep endoscopy improve the success rates of tongue base surgery? J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2020; 49(1):8.
- 45. Vonk PE, Ravesloot MJL, van Maanen JP, de Vries N. Short-term results of upper airway stimulation in obstructive sleep apnoea patients: the Amsterdam experience. J Laryngol Otol. 2020;134(5):447–452.
- 46. Lee LA, Wang CJ, Lo YL, et al. Drug-induced sleep computed tomography-directed upper airway surgery for obstructive sleep apnea: a pilot study. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2019;160(1):172–181.
- 47. Murphy M, Bruno MA, Riedner BA, et al. Propofol anesthesia and sleep: a high-density EEG study. Sleep. 2011;34(3):283–291A.
- 48. Saha S, Bradley TD, Taheri M, Moussavi Z, Yadollahi A. A subject-specific acoustic model of the upper airway for snoring sounds generation. Sci Rep. 2016;6:25730.

SUBMISSION & CORRESPONDENCE INFORMATION

Submitted for publication December 10, 2021 Submitted in final revised form March 16, 2022 Accepted for publication March 17, 2022

Address correspondence to: Zhengfei Huang, DMD, MSc, Department of Orofacial Pain and Dysfunction, Academic Centre for Dentistry Amsterdam (ACTA), Gustav Mahlerlaan 3004, 1081 LA Amsterdam, the Netherlands; Email: zf.huang@acta.nl

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

All authors declare that they have seen and approved the final manuscript. Work for this study was performed at the Department of Otorhinolaryngology–Head and Neck Surgery, OLVG, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; Department of Clinical Neurophysiology, OLVG, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; and Department of Orofacial Pain and Dysfunction, Academic Center for Dentistry Amsterdam (ACTA), University of Amsterdam and Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands. The authors report no conflicts of interest.